

On 27 Jan 2017, at 09:03, Steve Carnaby
<steve.carnaby@intelligentplans.co.uk> wrote:
Good morning Maggie,

The examiner is aware from the latest response to Reg 16 comments that the Inspector examining the emerging Haringey Local Plan (site allocations) reached some presumably interim conclusions on its status. These are summarised on Page 67 of the latest document from the Forum to the examiner with comments from Haringey.

In summary, Key Site 3, Highgate Bowl, corresponds to Haringey's emerging Policy SA42. However, the Inspector at Haringey Local Plan examination has advised that the open space within the area is previously developed land and cannot be designated as Significant Local Open Space. An open space area to be secured has been identified (apparently), and the Inspector has indicated that public access across the site or within any area designated in future as open space can only be supported, not required, by planning policy.

In view of this advice, the examiner considers that Policy KS3 and the map in Figure 18 in the NP should be modified to be in general conformity with latest evidence of Haringey's emerging policy. Therefore, as Page 67 of the latest note to the examiner refers to proposals to change LP Policy SA42 from the Highgate Neighbourhood Forum and associated Highgate voluntary associations, she wondered whether the Forum could send a copy of these so that she can understand the latest position on the Local Plan and can suggest an appropriate modification to the NP?

Thanks in advance:

Steve Carnaby
Associate Director

Intelligent Plans and Examinations (IPE) Ltd
Regency Offices

**3 Portwall Lane
Bristol BS1 6NB**

Tel:: +44(0)117 203 3162

Email: steve.carnaby@intelligentplans.co.uk

Web: www.intelligentplans.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales (Company No. 10100118).

Hello again Steve. Here are the amendments (in bold) to the new wording for Haringey's SA 42 (and thus the NP's KS3) submitted by the Highgate Bowl Action Group (which includes all the local voluntary associations, including the Forum):

- 1 2.12- Proposed wording: **Protection of the Highgate Bowl as open space**, and improvement of public access through limited development of Townsend Yard, **Broadbent Close** and Duke's Head Yard (**referred to below as 'the Yards'**)
- 2 2.122 Proposed wording: This policy will establish the Highgate Bowl as a **designated** open space, and the heart of the Highgate Bowl section of the Highgate Conservation Area. Limited redevelopment within **the Yards**,....
- 3 Third site requirement: change opening sentence to: **Limited and sensitive** development **within the Yards** offers the opportunity to secure the area identified by and **lying within** the green line on the site allocation and Policies Map as **designated** open space.
- 4 Fourth site requirement to be reworded as: Limited redevelopment of the garages and workshops **in the Yards** will be allowed, etc etc
- 5 Fifth site requirement to be changed as follows: Enhanced access to the Bowl will be supported through **the Yards** etc etc

Hope this answers the Examiner's query but I can send a fuller explanation of the changes, if required.

best

Maggy Meade-King
Chair, Highgate Neighbourhood Forum